IFAC – Cigré Symposium on Power plants & power systems control Brussels, April 26-29, 2000

Session on

Control concepts for conventional and non-conventional power plants

Black-startup simulation of a repowered thermoelectric unit

A. Borghetti * G. Migliavacca ^ C.A. Nucci * S. Spelta ^

* Department of Electrical Engineering, University of Bologna, Italy

^ CESI S.p.A. (ENEL Group) Milan, Italy

A typical ENEL repowering project

Introduction



The problem to be studied

- Possibility to use a local repowering TG to perform a black start operation on a UP-steam unit without feeding auxiliaries from the network. This could:
 - 1. Spare precious time if load rejection on SPP fails
 - 2. Avoid SPP auxiliaries feeding from network, complex operation involving personnel on large geographical areas.
- 3. Allows to the group TG/SPP to feed at last other power stations, helping to restore the network as fast as possible

The simulation environment

The simulator

CESI has developed a real time **simulation environment**, named ALTERLEGO.

Its core consists of:

- an **efficient and reliable implicit solver** of large sets of algebraic-differential equations,
- CAD-like user-friendly tools for building and managing models
- a large library of mathematical models in the field of energy production processes.

A run-time executive enables to run together the various parts and the MMI.



Simulator structure



Gas turbine and its control



- The temperature control is not represented and it is approximated by reducing the maximum fuel limit.
- The fuel valve positioner has two different actuation speed.
- Valve position 22% is balance between gas turbine and compressor power

GT model validation



GT model validation



UP Boiler: main assumptions

- Thermal balance equations are not represented: load connections generate ΔT_{max} =5-10 C
- Feedwater flow rate proportional to fuel flow rate at each load
- Steam production proportional to feedwater flow rate; time constant greater at diminishing loads
- Neglected F.T. drainings (but oil was increased by program at low load)
- Mass accumulation has been considered in: SH1, FT, SH2

UP Boiler



UP Boiler





Start-up circuit

Steam turbine and electrohydraulic control



Start-up control mode

Control system



SPP model validation



Additional control system during restoration TG: greater time constant between fuel and power

The power is immediately available

SPP smaller time contant between θamm and power

Great "inertia" between fuel and steam production

Using TG to take load rapidly and bring to zero the frequency error (FLI)

When frequency and boiler pressure are correct, unload TG in favor of SPP so that TG preserves enough margin for subsequent load connections

Control system



Auxiliaries' electrical system



Models of the auxiliaries



380/220 kV network near the power plant



150 kV network near the power plant



Ballast load

The simulator





(s)

OLTC 6.2 model 6. Auxiliaries' bus voltage kV 6 5.9 with OLTC 5.8 without OLTC Discrete 5.7 5.6 Inverse-time delay 5.5 a) 5.4^{[-}0 20 40 60 80 100 120 140 160 180 200 220 Time s OLTC of the a) 55 start-up 45 transformer 35 (±16; 0.625%) 25 TG reactive output with OLTC 15 ع N**Var** TG reactive output without OLTC SPP reactive output with OLTC b) OLTC of SPP reactive output without OLTC Rotonda -15 transformer -25 (±8; 1.9%) -35 b) -45' The simulator 21 41 61 81 101 1 121

S

Model of the excitation limiters



- Generators and power plant auxiliary system protections

abnormal voltages and frequencies loss of excitation inadvertent energisation

Pick-up of a 30 MW load

simulations

SPP alone (MW) (Mvar) Hz 80 50 frequency 49 Mechanical power 60 Active power 40 48 20 47 **Reactive power** ۵ 46 0,00 20,00 40,00 60,00 80,00 100.00 120,00 (bar) (Hz) (%) (MW) 70 50 120 frequency 55 90 49 HP steam turbine valve opening 48 40 60 flash tank steam pressure 30 47 25 Mechanical power 10 46 ۵

60,00

80,00

100,00

120,00

0,00

20,00

40,00

Pick-up of a 18 MW load

simulations

SPP alone Hz (Mvar) (MW) 80 50 frequency 49 60 mechanical power 40 active power 48 N 20 47 reactive power 0 46 73.80 147.60 295,20 369.00 0.00 221,40 442.80 (Hz) (MW) (%) (bar) 50 120 70 frequency 55 90 49 flash tank steam pressure 48 60 40 HP steam turbine valve opening mechanical power 25 30 47 46 0 73,80 147,60 295,20 0,00 221,40 369.00 442,80

Pick-up of a 30 MW load



time in s

Pick-up of a 30 MW load (after other four loads)

simulations **SPP** alone (MW) (Mvar) Hz 100 50. frequency 75 49. Frequency and 50 48. active and mech. power SPP outputs reactive power 47. 25 46. 0 462.10 693.15 924.20 1155.25 0.00 231.05 1386.30 (%) (Hz) (bar) 120 50 70 frequency 90 49 -55 Control valve flash tank steam pressure position 60 48 and FT pressure 30 47 25 HP steam turbine valve opening 46 10 Δ 462.10 693.15 924,20 1155.25 0.00 231.05 1386.30

Pick-up of a 30 MW load

SPP and GT synchronized



simulations

Power plant ramping

simulations

SPP and GT synchronized





Conclusions

The study carried out has shown that

- The GT section can effectively help the SPP section under the start-up and ramping phases
- A load scheduler control system is crucial to coordinate the load requests to the GT and the SPP generators during the manoeuvre
- The repowered thermoelectric power unit can therefore assume the role of "early-restoration plant".